

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/526,670	SATO, HIDEAKI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Robert W. Horn	2837	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Amended

 (1) Robert W. Horn.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Michael A. Makuch.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 6 June 2006
**Time:** 9:15 EDT
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Corrections of spelling, grammatical and word matching reference descriptor. The examiner suggested that that the examiner be allowed to make minor corrections in the specification of the application, since the application was otherwise in condition for allowance..